

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,130	11/03/2003	Marvin Laukala	3304	3717
23618	7590 06/13/2006		EXAMINER	
CHASE LAW FIRM L.C			WILLATT, STEPHANIE L	
	4400 COLLEGE BOULEVARD, SUITE 130 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66211			PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/700,130	LAUKALA, MARVIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephanie L. Willatt	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: in lines 11-12 of 1. claim 1, it seems that "adhesive coating" should be --adhesive strip--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 14, 15, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cartagenova (US 5,653,024).

Cartagenova discloses a nail clipping receptacle including: a hollow housing (Receptacle 52) having a bottom, a pair of opposed sides (56) connected to the bottom, a back connected to the opposed sides and the bottom, and a top (58) connected to the opposed sides (6) and the back. The opposed sides (56) have a length that substantially extends along the length of the nail clippers, as discussed in column 4, lines 9-11. The top has a length less than the length of the sides (56). The top has an opening (60) sufficient to allow actuation of the lever and the jaws of the nail clippers.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,130 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

The housing is sized to slidably receive a portion (protrusion 50) of the nail clippers, as discussed in column 1, lines 60-66 and shown in Figure 4. An adhesive coating (62) is located on an interior surface including at least one of the sides. The adhesive coating (62) is adapted to retain the nail clippings which come into contact with it. The housing is disposable (column 4, lines 53-55). The adhesive coating (62) comprises an adhesive strip (column 4, lines 57-59) and it stays tacky for an extended period of time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 5, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cartagenova (US 5,653,024) in view of Marroco (US 5,423,124).

Cartagenova discloses the features discussed above, but does not disclose the material of the nail receptacle. Marrocco teaches making nail receptacles out of paper in column 5, line 66 to column 6, lines 8. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the receptacle of Cartagenova out of paper, as taught by Marrocco, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its

Art Unit: 3754

suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

6. Claims 4 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cartagenova (US 5,653,024) in view of Weidlich (US 6,009,880).

Cartagenova discloses the features discussed above, but does not disclose the material of the nail receptacle. Weidlich teaches making nail receptacles out of plastic in claim 4. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the receptacle of Cartagenova out of plastic, as taught by Weidlich, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 20 March 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the housing completely encases the nail clippers, covers the sides, and fits over the body of the nail clipper) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Claim 1 merely states that the housing is sized to

Art Unit: 3754

slidable receive the nail clippers therein. Claim 1 does not state that the housing is actually capable of receiving the nail clippers or that the housing is capable of completely encasing the nail clippers.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephanie L. Willatt whose telephone number is (571) 272-4721. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,130

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

slw

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 6